The Crystal Structures of $Man(\alpha 1-3)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$ and $Man(\alpha 1-6)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$ in Complex with Concanavalin A*

(Received for publication, March 19, 1999, and in revised form, July 13, 1999)

Julie Bouckaert‡§, Thomas W. Hamelryck¶, Lode Wyns, and Remy Loris‡

From the Laboratorium voor Ultrastructuur, Vlaams Interuniversitair Instituut voor Biotechnologie, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Paardenstraat 65, B-1640 Sint-Genesius-Rode, Belgium

The crystal structures of concanavalin A in complex with Man(α 1-6)Man(α 1-0)Me and Man(α 1-3)Man(α 1-O)Me were determined at resolutions of 2.0 and 2.8 Å, respectively. In both structures, the O-1-linked mannose binds in the conserved monosaccharide-binding site. The O-3-linked mannose of $Man(\alpha 1-3)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$ binds in the hydrophobic subsite formed by Tyr-12, Tyr-100, and Leu-99. The shielding of a hydrophobic surface is consistent with the associated large heat capacity change. The O-6-linked mannose of $Man(\alpha 1-6)Man(\alpha 1-6)$ O)Me binds in the same subsite formed by Tyr-12 and Asp-16 as the reducing mannose of the highly specific trimannose Man(α 1-3)[Man(α 1-6)]Man(α 1-0)Me. However, it is much less tightly bound. Its O-2 hydroxyl makes no hydrogen bond with the conserved water 1. Water 1 is present in all the sugar-containing concanavalin A structures and increases the complementarity between the protein-binding surface and the sugar, but is not necessarily a hydrogen-bonding partner. A water analysis of the carbohydrate-binding site revealed a conserved water molecule replacing O-4 on the α 1–3-linked arm of the trimannose. No such water is found for the reducing or O-6-linked mannose. Our data indicate that the central mannose of Man(α 1-3)[Man(α 1-6)]Man(α 1-O)Me primarily functions as a hinge between the two outer subsites.

Lectins are carbohydrate-binding proteins involved in biological recognition processes. They occur in plants as well as in animals. Lectins mediate cellular recognition in the immune system, fertilization, cancer, infections, embryogenesis, and transport and targeting of glycoproteins (1). For plant lectins, a variety of different physiological functions have been proposed (2). They defend the plant against herbivorous animals (3, 4) and play a role in the legume-*Rhizobium* symbiosis (4, 5). Plant lectins are also valuable tools for biochemical, analytical, and therapeutic purposes (6). The crystal structures of many plant lectins have revealed how they specifically recognize their carbohydrate ligands (7). The structural basis of carbohydrate specificity is best understood for the legume lectins. These form a group of proteins with a conserved subunit structure and spanning a wide range of carbohydrate specificities (8). The legume lectins recognize their ligands via a conserved monosaccharide-binding site and several distinct carbohydrate-binding subsites (8). Furthermore, the different quaternary structures that are adopted allow for the selection of multivalent ligands with different characteristic distances between their epitopes, adding an extra dimension to their specificity. This results, for example, in the formation of highly ordered and homogeneous cross-linked lattices between the lectin and multivalent carbohydrates (9).

ConA¹ is the most extensively studied legume lectin. The crystal structures of the complexes of ConA with a series of carbohydrates have been solved: methyl- α -D-mannopyranoside (10) and methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (11), Man(α 1–3)[Man(α 1– 6)]Man (which is the trimannoside ConA epitope of N-linked carbohydrates) (12, 13), the pentasaccharide $GlcNAc(\beta 1 - \beta 1)$ 2)Man(α 1-3)[GlcNAc(β 1-2)Man(α 1-6)]Man (14), and the dimannoside $Man(\alpha 1-2)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$ (15). In this work, we describe the interactions of $Man(\alpha 1-6)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$ and $Man(\alpha 1-3)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$, the two dimannoside parts of the highly specific ConA epitope $Man(\alpha 1-3)[Man(\alpha 1-6)]Man(\alpha 1-6)]$ O)Me, with ConA. The M3M and M6M disaccharides are the epitopes recognized on the α 1–3- and α 1–6-linked arms, respectively, of certain high mannose and bisected hybrid-type sugars that are bivalent or monovalent for ConA (16). The M3M and M6M moieties of these sugars can be expected to bind in the same way as M3M and M6M in the crystal structures presented here. The M3M and M6M binding modes can, in principle, also occur for the trimannose M3M6M if its normal binding mode would be impaired. This is the case when a bisecting N-acetyl-D-glucosamine residue is β -linked to O-4 of the reducing mannose (17).

The thermodynamics of the binding of these specific sugars have been studied by different groups (18-26). We discuss the atomic interactions between the sugars and the lectin as we observe them in the crystal structure in relation to the measured enthalpic and entropic contributions to the binding.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Crystallization—ConA was purified by affinity chromatography on Sephadex as described for pea lectin (27). M6M and M3M were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals and Sigma, respectively. Crystals of the complex of ConA with M6M were obtained in hanging drops after equilibration of a 10- μ l drop composed of 5 μ l of a ConA/ sugar solution (7 mg/ml ConA and 2.75 mM M6M) and 5 μ l of the bottom solution (7% Jeffamine M-600, 10% polyethylene glycol 8000, 50 mM NH₄COOH, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 25 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.8) against 0.5 ml of the bottom solution. Diamond-shaped crystals of the complex

^{*} This work was supported in part by the Vlaams Interuniversitair Instituut voor Biotechnologie. The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *"advertisement"* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

The atomic coordinates and structure factors (codes 1QDO and 1QDC) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ (http://www.rcsb.org/).

 $[\]ddagger$ Postdoctoral fellows of the Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek-Vlaanderen.

[§] To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 32-2-3590208/ 3590263; Fax: 32-2-3590289; E-mail: bouckaej@vub.ac.be.

[¶] Supported by the Vlaams Instituut voor de Bevordering van het Wetenschappelijk-Technologisch Onderzoek in de Industrie.

¹ The abbreviations used are: ConA, concanavalin A; M3M, Man- $(\alpha 1-3)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$; M6M, Man $(\alpha 1-6)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$; M3M6M, Man $(\alpha 1-3)[Man(\alpha 1-6)]Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$; MeMan, methyl- α -D-mannose.

TABLE I

of ConA with M3M were obtained in hanging drops composed of 5 μ l of the protein/sugar solution (5 mg/ml ConA and 5 mM M3M) and 5 μ l of the bottom solution (14% polyethylene glycol 8000 and 35 mM potassium phosphate, pH 8) against 0.5 ml of the bottom solution. Data Collection, Structure Solution, and Refinement—All data were collected on a MAR image plate using a Rigaku RU-200 rotating anode

source. Processing of x-ray data and subsequent scaling were done with the HKL package (28). The conversion of intensities to structure factor amplitudes was done with the program TRUNCATE from the CCP4 package (29). Statistics of the x-ray data are given in Table I.

From a single M6M·ConA crystal, a total of 143° were recorded. The molecular replacement solution was found using AMoRE (30) (correlation coefficient = 0.36, $R_{\rm cryst}$ = 0.56) using the ConA tetramer from Protein Data Bank entry 1ENQ. X-PLOR was used to refine the model (31). A random 10% reflection test set was kept separate from the reflections used for refinement for cross-evaluation by $R_{\rm free}.$ Rigid body refinement reduced $R_{\rm cryst}$ to 0.35 with an $R_{\rm free}$ of 0.34. Throughout the refinement, a bulk solvent correction was applied. For model building, the program TURBO-FRODO was used. After the positioning of the first monosaccharide unit in the monosaccharide-binding site and addition of the metal ions, positional and individual B-factor refinement led to a drop in $R_{\rm cryst}$ to 0.24 and in $R_{\rm free}$ to 0.27. At this stage, electron densities were inspected, and the metal ions were added to the structures. No metal-ligand bond distance restraints were employed, and the metal ions were given zero charge. Water molecules were added only if 1) the water had at least one hydrogen-bonding partner; 2) the F_o - F_c density level was higher than 3 σ ; and 3) after refinement, the electron density of the putative water reappeared in the $2F_o - F_c$ map at a level of at least 1.

From two crystals of the M3M complex, a total of 264° of data were recorded. The molecular replacement solution was found using the tetramer of the M6M·ConA structure. The initial correlation coefficient was 0.46, and $R_{\rm cryst}$ was 0.50 after rigid body refinement with AMoRE. After a simulated annealing refinement using the slow-cool protocol, $R_{\rm cryst}$ dropped to 0.25 and $R_{\rm free}$ to 0.30. Further model building and refinement proceeded as for M6M·ConA. In total, 33 water molecules were added for M3M·ConA and 386 for M6M·ConA. The final refinement statistics for M6M·ConA and M3M·ConA are given in Table I.

Structure Analysis and Comparison-Accessible surface areas were calculated using the program NACCESS. For all the superpositions of ConA structures, only the main chain atoms of Tyr-12, Asn-14, Leu-99, Tyr-100, Asp-208, and Arg-228 of the carbohydrate-binding site of ConA were used. The water structure in the carbohydrate-binding site was analyzed in a large set of sugar-free and sugar-containing ConA structures, including all subunits in the asymmetric unit (see Table IV). A water molecule was considered conserved among the multiple subunits of a crystal structure or among different structures when, after superposition of the structures, the 1) waters were not separated more than 2 Å, and 2) they share at least one contact with the protein. A water molecule was considered to be replaced by a sugar atom or vice versa when their mutual distance after superposition of the binding sites did not exceed 1.5 Å. Less conservative replacement, where this distance is between 1.5 and 2.0 Å, is still mentioned in Table IV. For a distance larger than 2 Å, the sugar atom expels the water molecule upon binding of the sugar, rather than replacing it.

RESULTS

Overall Structure and Carbohydrate Conformation—Both the M6M·ConA and M3M·ConA structures each contain one classical ConA tetramer (subunits A, B, C, and D) in the asymmetric unit. The ConA monomer consists of 237 amino acid residues and folds into a β -sandwich structure containing two sheets of six and seven β -strands, respectively, as well as a small third β -sheet (8, 32). There are no relevant structural changes outside the carbohydrate recognition sites in either of the two complexes. Residues 118 and 119, 150 and 151, and 161 and 162 in M6M·ConA and residues 118–121 and 205 in M3M·ConA are less clearly determined by the electron density. The overall crystallographic *B*-factors of the two structures as well as the *B*-factors of the main chains, the side chains, the waters, and the sugars separately are presented in Table I.

The electron densities of M3M and M6M bound in the carbohydrate recognition site of ConA are shown in Fig. 1. The conformations of the M6M glycosidic linkages deviate slightly from the conformation observed in the complexed trimannose

	M3M	M6M
Space group	P2 ₁	P212121
Unit cell	a = 60.41 Å	a = 69.51 Å
	b = 64.53 Å	b = 117.03 Å
	c = 126.96 Å	c = 120.92 Å
	$\beta = 93.21^{\circ}$	
Contents of asymmetric unit	1 tetramer	1 tetramer
Resolution (Å)	25.0 to 2.8	15.0 to 2.0
Completeness (%) (last shell) ^a	99.9 (100.0)	100.0 (99.8)
$I/\sigma(I)$ (last shell) ^a	17.1 (3.9)	17.0 (6.3)
No. of measured reflections	195,361	498,686
No. of unique reflections	24,411	71,199
Multiplicity	8.0	7.0
R_{merge} (last shell) ^a	0.168 (0.603)	0.082 (0.320)
R-factor (last shell) ^a	0.164 (0.268)	0.175(0.234)
R_{free} -factor (last shell) ^a	0.257 (0.416)	0.211(0.276)
Ramachandran plot (%)		
Core region	78.8	87.3
Additional allowed	20.7	12.7
Generously allowed	0.5	0.0
Disallowed	0.0	0.0
r.m.s. ^b deviation from ideality		
Bond lengths (Å)	0.013	0.010
Bond angles (°)	1.826	1.712
Dihedral angles (°)	27.393	27.358
Improper angles (°)	1.699	1.556
B-factors		
Overall (Å ²)	33	25
Main/side/water/sugar (Å ²)	30/35/31/54	22/26/39/31
PDB entry	1QDO	1QDC

Data collection and refinement

^a Last shell: M3M, 2.9 to 2.8 Å; M6M, 2.1 to 2.0 Å. $R_{\text{merge}} = \sum_{hkl} \sum_{i} |\langle I_{\text{average},hkl} \rangle - I_{hkl,i} |\langle \Sigma_{hkl} \Sigma_{j} | I_{hkl,i} |$.

^b r.m.s., root mean square; PDB, Protein Data Bank.

M3M6M (Table II). This results in a less tight binding of the O-6-linked mannose (Fig. 2) and fewer interactions with the protein (Fig. 3b). The conformations around the glycosidic linkages of M3M are very similar to what is seen in the M3M6M-ConA complex (Table II). The M3M ϕ and φ angles enclose in the 2- or 3-kcal energy window of the energetically favorable region for the free disaccharide, but are a little outside the allowed predicted values for the M3M-ConA complex (33). This is probably due to the approach for docking the disaccharide in the carbohydrate-binding site of ConA, which did not allow for small structural adjustments in the protein.

Protein-Carbohydrate Interactions—The hydrogen bonds formed in the complexes of M3M6M, M6M, and M3M with ConA are presented schematically in Fig. 3. M6M and M3M bind with their O-1-linked mannose in the monosaccharidebinding site. This was expected because both the O-3 and O-6 sugar hydroxyl groups are involved in strong interactions in the monosaccharide binding of ConA (12, 22). The second mannose units of M3M and M6M bind in different subsites of the carbohydrate-binding site. Thereby, they approximately maintain the α 1–6- and α 1–3-glycosidic angles as found in the complex of ConA with M3M6M (Table II).

The binding pattern of M6M is comparable to what is seen in the M3M6M complex (Figs. 2 and 3). The O-6-linked mannose binds in the same subsite as the reducing mannose of M3M6M (Table III). However, the O-6-linked mannose lies farther from the protein surface than its counterpart in bound M3M6M (Fig. 2). This deviation is caused by the differences in the glycosidic angles of M6M, especially ω , compared with bound M3M6M (Table II). Consequently, M6M makes a hydrogen bond only via O-4, whereas in the M3M6M·ConA complex, both O-2 and O-4 of the reducing mannose make hydrogen bonds with Asp-16 O- δ 1 and Tyr-12 OH, respectively. Furthermore, a structural water molecule (water 1 (12)) that makes hydrogen bonds with the carbohydrate ligand residues Arg-228, Asn-14, and Asp-16 and that is conserved in all sugar-bound structures of ConA is





TABLE II Carbohydrate conformation

The glycosidic torsion angles φ and ψ are as follows: $\varphi = \theta$ (O-5–C-1– O-1–C'-*X*) and $\psi = \theta$ (C-1–O-1–C'-*X*–C'-*X*+1); and for the α 1–6-linkage, $\omega = \theta(O-1-C'-6-C'-5-O'-5)$ as defined (50). The values in the last column are the average values for the four crystallographically independent trimannosides in complex with ConA (Protein Data Bank entry 1CVN) with its variation

M3M					Average	M3M6M
φ (°) ψ (°)	64 121	66 128	$\begin{array}{c} 62\\ 126 \end{array}$	64 126	$\begin{array}{c} 64\pm2\\ 125\pm4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66 \pm 3 \\ 125 \pm 6 \end{array}$
M6M					Average	M3M6M
φ (°) ψ (°) ω (°)	$76 \\ -166 \\ -61$	$76 \\ -174 \\ -57$	$70 \\ -178 \\ -54$	$68 \\ -176 \\ -59$	$72 \pm 4 \\ -174 \pm 8 \\ -58 \pm 4$	$66 \pm 3 \\ -170 \pm 4 \\ -45 \pm 6$

also present in the M6M structure. However, O-2 of the O-6linked mannose and water 1 are within hydrogen-bonding distance (within 3.5 Å) in only one of the four sugar-binding sites of the asymmetric unit of M6M·ConA.

In the complex of ConA with M3M, the O-3-linked mannose covers the hydrophobic subsite neighboring the sugar-binding site (involving Tyr-12, Leu-99, and Tyr-100), in which also the aglycons of 4'-nitrophenyl- α -D-mannopyranoside, 4'-nitrophenyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (34), and 4'-methylumbelliferyl- α -Dglucopyranoside (35) bind (Fig. 4a). The binding of the O-3linked mannose of M3M involves no extra polar interactions compared with the monosaccharide, but depends merely on hydrophobic and van der Waals interactions (Table III). The electron density indicates that water 1 is also present in the M3M structure.

Waters Involved in Carbohydrate Binding-Prior to complexation, the polar groups of both the carbohydrate-binding site and the carbohydrate are extensively hydrated (36). Waters have to be released from both surfaces to allow the complex to form. In the absence of the sugar, waters can take in the positions of the sugar atoms and make the same hydrogen bonds with the protein (37). In this way, they can contribute directly to the properties of the protein by influencing its interaction with the sugar. In this context, Naismith et al. (10) observed that the waters that are expelled on binding of Me-Man in the monosaccharide-binding site of ConA make similar hydrogen bonds with the protein as the sugar oxygen atoms O-4, O-5, and O-6 of MeMan with Asn-14 N-δ2, Leu-99 N, and Tyr-100 N, respectively. A similar observation was made for the water molecules expelled from the surface of the carbohydrate-binding site of Erythrina corallodendron lectin, a galactose/N-acetylgalactosamine-specific legume lectin (38). In this case, the positions of the key hydroxyls O-3 and O-4 of galactose are occupied by waters. The waters also make similar hydrogen bonds with the protein as O-3 and O-4 in the galactose complex. The atomic resolution structure of ConA revealed a water molecule directly above the aromatic ring of Tyr-12 that replaces C-6 of MeMan (39). The electron density indicates hydrogen



FIG. 2. Superposition of $Man(\alpha 1-6)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$ (*red*) and $Man(\alpha 1-3)[Man(\alpha 1-6)]Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$ (*blue*) in the carbohydrate recognition site of ConA (green) showing an identical positioning of the mannose in the monosaccharide-binding site. The O-6-linked mannose of $Man(\alpha 1-6)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$ is farther separated from the protein than the reducing mannose of $Man(\alpha 1-3)[Man(\alpha 1-6)]Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$.

bonding between this water and the aromatic ring of Tyr-12.

The question arises whether the mannose residues bound to the ConA subsites also expel water molecules from the protein surface. Therefore, the water structures of the complexes of ConA with M6M (Protein Data Bank entry 1QDC; 2.0-Å resolution, tetramer in de-asymmetric unit, 386 waters), MeMan (Protein Data Bank entry 5CNA; 2.0-Å resolution, tetramer, 533 waters), M3M6M (Protein Data Bank entry 10NA; 2.35-Å resolution, tetramer, 200 waters), and sugar-free ConA (Protein Data Bank entry 1NLS; 0.94-Å resolution, monomer, 318 waters) (39) were compared. The M3M·ConA complex was not included in this comparison because of its lower resolution. Fig. 5 shows the water molecules that are present in the binding site of sugar-free ConA. These were compared with those carbohydrate hydroxyls that are hydrogen bond donors and acceptors in the M3M, M6M, and M3M6M complexes (Fig. 5 and Table IV). Furthermore, the positions and hydrogen-bonding partners of the water molecules present in the binding sites of the complexes of ConA with MeMan, M6M, and M3M6M were compared (Table IV).

Upon M3M6M binding, a total of eight waters present in the sugar-free structure (waters 01-08) leave the carbohydratebinding site (Fig. 5). In the monosaccharide-binding site, the key polar positions O-4, O-5, and O-6 are replaced by waters 01-03. Water 04 replaces the van der Waals contact of C-6 with the aromatic rings of Tyr-12 and Tyr-100 (39). Two waters, 05 and 08, are in the site where the reducing mannose of M3M6M binds. The site for the α 1–3-linked arm of M3M6M contains waters 06 and 07. Although waters 07 (residue 279) and 08 (residue 314) are not conservatively replacing a sugar atom, they are expelled upon sugar binding. Moreover, these waters are not conserved among different ConA structures. Therefore, they are not displayed in Table IV.

We examined whether the waters in the carbohydrate-binding site of sugar-free ConA or MeMan-ConA stayed or were expelled upon MeMan, M6M, or M3M6M binding to ConA. Remarkably, none of the water positions in and around the carbohydrate-binding site in MeMan-ConA coincides with a water position in the sugar-free structure, except for water 06 (Table IV). Water 06 replaces O-4 on the α 1–3-linked arm of bound M3M6M. Water 06 is the only water molecule that is strongly conserved in the sugar-free monomannose (MeMan) and the dimannose (M6M) structures. It is expelled only when the trimannose M3M6M binds. When a still larger oligosaccharide binds to ConA, the pentasaccharide GlcNAc- $(\beta 1-2)$ Man $(\alpha 1-3)$ [GlcNAc $(\beta 1-2)$ Man $(\alpha 1-6)$]Man (14), another conserved water molecule, is expelled. This water is found for both the M6M·ConA subunit A and MeMan·ConA structures in the empty subsites for the *N*-acetylglucosamine on the $\alpha 1-6$ -linked arm. It makes the same hydrogen bonds with Ser-168 O- γ and Thr-226 O- $\gamma 1$.

Water 1 is present in all sugar-containing structures with at least a monosaccharide bound and was first identified by Naismith and Field (12). Water 1 makes strong hydrogen bonds with the side chains of Arg-228, Asn-14, and Asp-16 (Fig. 3, a and b), but not with the sugar in the monosaccharide-binding site. In sugar-free ConA, water 1 is absent, and a salt bridge is formed between Arg-228 and Asp-16. On the other hand, the disruption of the salt bridge between Arg-228 and Asp-16 in sugar-free ConA is not required for sugar binding, as was previously proposed (21), and depends only on the crystal environment. The salt bridge is present in some complexes of ConA with sugars (including two of the four crystallographically independent subunits of MeMan·ConA and all four subunits of M3M6M·ConA), but is absent in the M3M·ConA and M6M·ConA structures. The conserved water 1 molecule fills a gap between the Arg-228 side chain and the monosaccharidebinding site. In M3M6M·ConA, water 1 is moreover hydrogenbonded to O-2 of the reducing mannose. However, it makes a hydrogen bond with the O-6-linked mannose in only one of the four subunits of M6M·ConA. Whereas conserved water 1 leans against one side of the Arg-228 side chain, two more water molecules, waters 2 and 3 (Fig. 3b), support the Arg-228 side chain at the other side. Water 3 is present in all of the subunits of the M6M and MeMan complexes. Water 2 is present in three of four of the subunits of the MeMan and M6M complexes and also in the sugar-free structure. In both the methyl- α -D-glucose and the M3M6M complexes, these waters reorganize.

DISCUSSION

M3M Binds in a Multipurpose Hydrophobic Subsite—The O-3-linked mannose of M3M binds in a hydrophobic subsite formed by the side chains of Leu-99 and Tyr-100 neighboring the conserved monosaccharide-binding site (Fig. 4b). Oligosaccharide recognition via a subsite with a hydrophobic character is not unique to the M3M·ConA complex. It has also been recently observed in the complex of the seed lectin from *Doli*chos biflorus with the Forsmann disaccharide (40) and has







FIG. 3. Schematic presentation of $Man(\alpha 1-3)[Man(\alpha 1-6)]-Man(\alpha 1-0)Me(\alpha)$, $Man(\alpha 1-6)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me(b)$, and $Man(\alpha 1-3)-Man(\alpha 1-0)Me(c)$ binding. Protein-carbohydrate distances are indicated. The positions of the key hydroxyls of $Man(\alpha 1-3)[Man(\alpha 1-6)]-Man$ in the site are invariably occupied by a water molecule in the absence of the carbohydrate. The conserved water 06 replaces O-4 of the mannose on the $\alpha 1-3$ -linked arm of the specific epitope $Man(\alpha 1-3)$ -

 TABLE III

 van der Waals contacts (within 4.5 Å) of the O-3-linked mannose of M3M and the O-6-linked mannose of M6M

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
M3M	M6M				
C-1-Tyr-12 OH O-1-Leu-99 C- β , C- γ , C- δ 2 O-1-Tyr-100 C- ϵ 2 C-7-Leu-99 C- δ 2 C-7-Tyr-100 C- ϵ 2, C- ζ , OH C-2-Tyr-12 C- ζ , OH O-2-Tyr-12 C- ζ , OH C-3-Leu-99 C- β 2 C-4-Leu-99 C- δ 2 O-4-Leu-99 C- δ 2 C-5-Leu-99 C- γ 2	C-3–Tyr-12 C-ζ, OH O-3–Tyr-12 C-ε2, C-ζ, OH C-4–Tyr-12 C-ε2, C-ζ2, OH O-4–Tyr-12 C-ε1, C-ε2, C-ζ, OH O-4–Tyr-100 C-δ2, C-ε2 C-5–Leu-99 C-β, C-γ, C-δ2 C-6–Leu-99 C-δ2				

been predicted by molecular modeling for the fucose moiety of $Fuc(\alpha 1-2)Gal(\beta 1-4)GlcNAc$ binding to the *E. corallodendron* lectin (41). The binding of the O-3-linked mannose of M3M to a subsite formed by the hydrophobic side chains of Leu-99 and Tyr-100 is comparable to the binding of methylumbelliferyl covalently attached to glucose in 4'-methylumbelliferyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (35) or the *para*-nitrophenyl groups of 4'-nitrophenyl- α -D-mannopyranoside and 4'-methylumbelliferyl- α -D-glucopyranoside have very closely related conformations around their glycosidic linkages (Fig. 4a). Similarly, the increase in affinity by going from methyl- α -D-mannopyranoside to M3M (a factor of 4) is equivalent to the one observed by going from methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (42).

The binding of the O-3-linked mannose of M3M to a dominantly hydrophobic surface as observed in our crystal structure agrees well with the published thermodynamic data. The study of Williams et al. (21), at pH 5.2, indicates a much larger change in heat capacity (ΔC_p) upon binding of M3M (-110 cal $mol^{-1} K^{-1}$) compared with binding of MeMan (-60 cal mol⁻¹ K^{-1}) or M6M (-40 cal mol⁻¹ K^{-1}). This change in heat capacity is equal to the heat capacity change upon binding of M3M6M to ConA (-110 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹). A large ΔC_p indicates that the binding enthalpy is a function of temperature. Moreover, ΔC_n is a term dominated by solvent effects. This mirrors the suggestion of Privalov and Gill (43) that the enthalpy change of hydrophobic hydration is a function of temperature. The contribution to ΔC_p from the burial of hydrophobic surface is dominant over that from the burial of hydrophilic surface. Thus, the large ΔC_p for the binding of M3M is in agreement with M3M binding to a hydrophobic surface as seen in our crystal structure and with the release of water from this surface.

The dehydration of hydrophobic surfaces prior to complexation causes an increase in entropy (44). The favorable entropic effect results from the release of otherwise restricted water molecules into the bulk solvent (36, 44). This is due to the organization of water molecules in the vicinity of exposed apolar solute surfaces, which reduces their molecular degrees of freedom compared with the water molecules in the more dynamic bulk solvent. These water molecules cannot be seen in the protein crystal structures. In none of the ConA structures is interpretable electron density for a water molecule present at a distance closer than 4-5 Å to the hydrophobic surface formed by the side chains of Leu-99 and Tyr-100. Nevertheless, the positive entropic contribution to binding to the hydrophobic subsite is illustrated by the binding of phenyl- α -D-glucopyran-

 $[[]Man(\alpha 1-6)]Man(\alpha 1-O)Me$. Three water molecules (waters 1–3) are conserved in the MeMan and $Man(\alpha 1-6)Man(\alpha 1-O)Me$ structures and increase the complementarity between the monosaccharide-binding site and the sugar, rather than serve as hydrogen-bonding partners.







FIG. 5. Expulsion of water from the binding site of sugar-free ConA upon binding of M3M6M. Shown is a stereo figure of the superposition of waters 01–08 of sugar-free ConA on the M3M6M-ConA complex in the carbohydrate-binding site. This figure was created using BOBSCRIPT (48).

oside to ConA. The phenyl ring binds in the same hydrophobic subsite as the O-3-linked mannose of M3M (34) with an entropic gain compared with glucose (42). A factor counteracting the positive entropic effect is the loss of conformational flexibility around the glycosidic linkage. The orientation of the phenyl ring of 4'-nitrophenyl- α -D-mannopyranoside and 4'-nitrophenyl- α -D-glucopyranoside is determined by the crystal packing, leading to large differences in glycosidic angles between the four subunits of the asymmetric unit (34). For phenyl- α -D-glucopyranoside, the phenyl ring is still allowed to move after it is bound, to allow for the most favorable crystal packing. In contrast, the glycosidic linkages of M3M are very nearly identical in the four independent subunits. Thus, the entropic loss due to restriction of the glycosidic linkage is

$Man(\alpha 1-3)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me/Man(\alpha 1-6)Man(\alpha 1-0)Me$ ·ConA Complexes

TABLE IV

Expulsion and conservation of water in the carbohydrate-binding site of ConA

All waters in this table are present in at least two of the four crystallographically independent subunits of the M3M6M (Protein Data Bank entry 10NA), M6M (Protein Data Bank entry 1QDC), and MeMan (Protein Data Bank entry 5CNA) structures. Waters in the same row of the table are conserved among the different structures. The fifth column shows the interaction partners shared by the waters or the sugar atom of M3M6M. The last column gives the names of the water molecules used in this paper. Waters 01-06 are waters present in the sugar-free structure (Protein Data Bank entry 1NLS). Part I shows waters that are conserved among some or all of the sugar \cdot ConA complexes that are thought to be important for presenting a complementary binding surface to the sugar. Part II shows how waters can compensate for the absence of sugar atoms. The waters replace an M3M6M (first column) or M3M atom. The first four columns present water residue numbers from subunit A in the respective Protein Data Bank entries (except for water 229^{*}, which is from subunit D of M6M). The distance (Å) of the replacing water molecule to the sugar atom position is written in parentheses next to the water residue number. Part III shows a water molecule occasionally interacting with O-2 of the sugar unit bound in the monosaccharide-binding site.

M3M6M	M6M	MeMan	Sugar-free	Protein ligands of sugar/water	Names		
Part I. Conserved waters important for the structure of the sugar-binding site							
(a) Structurally conserved water present in all saccharide-bound structures, but not in sugar-free ConA							
Water 63	98	1		Asn-14 N-δ2, Arg-228 N-η2	Water 1		
(b) Other conserved waters that complement the van der Waals shape of the sugar-binding site							
	229* 38	57 58	246	Thr-226 O, Gly-224 O Arg-228 N-η2	Water 2 Water 3		
Part II. Waters l	Part II. Waters leaving upon sugar binding						
(a) Key polar pos	sitions in the monos	accharide-binding site					
0-4 0-5 0-6 0-6			$\begin{array}{c} 252 \ (0.3) \\ 269 \ (1.3) \\ 264 \ (1.3) \\ 375 \ (1.2) \end{array}$	Asp-208 O-52, Asn-14 N-52 Leu-99 N Asp-208 O-51, Tyr-100 N, Leu-99 N Tyr-100 and Tyr-12 aromatic rings	Water 01 Water 02 Water 03 Water 04		
(b) Key polar positions in the reducing mannose of M3M6M (O-3 = α 1–3-linkage)							
O-3 O-4		17 (1.3)	348 (1.8)	Tyr-2 OH Tyr-12 OH	Water 4 Water 05		
(c) Key polar pos	sitions on the α 1–3-l	inked arm of M3M6M					
0-3 C-3, O-3 O-4	341 (1.4)	$508\ (1.4)\\207\ (1.3,\ 1.6)\\503\ (0.6)$	418 (1.4)	Thr-15 N, Pro-13 O, Thr-15 Ο-γ1 Thr-15 N, Pro-13 O Thr-15 Ο-γ1, Asp-16 Ο-δ1	Water 5 Water 6 Water 06		
(d) Water that is conserved in the α 1–3 subsite for M3M6M							
	84	206		Pro-13 O	Water 7		
(e) Water near the position of the methyl group of M3M							
C-7 of M3M		445 (1.1)		Туг-100 ОН	Water 8		
Part III. A water molecule interacting with the sugar in the monosaccharide site							
Water 80		56		Thr-226 O, Thr-226 O-γ1, sugar O-2	Water 9		

probably higher for M3M than for sugars with a hydrophobic substituent.

According to Mandal *et al.* (19), the affinity of ConA for M3M is four times higher than for M6M. Both enthalpy $(-\Delta H)$ and entropy $(T\Delta S)$ values (10.7 compared with 8.4 kcal/mol and -4.5 compared with -3.1 kcal/mol) are higher for the former. M3M thus binds with a more favorable change in enthalpy than M6M; however, this is partly compensated for by a higher entropic cost compared with M6M. The higher entropic cost for M3M binding compared with M6M is apparently in contradiction to the binding of the O-3-linked mannose of M3M to a hydrophobic surface. Clearly, the differences in the thermodynamic parameters between M3M and M6M cannot be explained based on the crystallographic observations only.

Structural Basis for the Low Affinity of M6M—The trimannosyloligosaccharide of asparagine-linked glycoproteins, M3M6M, is recognized by ConA with high affinity. It binds in an extended sugar-binding site, with all three mannose units making strong hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions with the protein (Fig. 3a) (12). The outer mannose on the α 1–6-linked arm of M3M6M binds in the monosaccharidebinding site; the mannose on the α 1–3-linked arm binds to a secondary site near Pro-13 and Thr-15; and the reducing mannose binds near the hydroxyl group of Tyr-12. The M6M·ConA crystal structure shows the subsite for the O-6-linked mannose of M6M to be similar to the one for the reducing mannose in M3M6M (Fig. 3, a and b). However, ConA has no enhanced affinity for M6M compared with MeMan (19). The binding of M6M to ConA superficially resembles what is seen for the same disaccharide portion of M3M6M, but the O-6-linked mannose of M6M is a little more separated (0.8–1.4 Å) from the protein surface than the reducing mannose in the M3M6M complex (Fig. 2) due to different glycosidic angles (Table II). The number of hydrogen bonds made by the O-6-linked mannose of M6M is reduced to a single one (O-4 with Tyr-12 OH). The hydrogen bond of O-2 of the reducing mannose in the M3M6M complex (Fig. 3a) with the conserved water 1 is absent in three of the four ConA monomers of the asymmetric unit (Fig. 3b). Tight interactions between the reducing mannose of M3M6M and ConA seem to be established only through further stabilization by the mannoside substituent on the O-3 hydroxyl of the reducing mannose that can make extra interactions with Thr-15, Pro-13, and Asp-16. It appears as if the second or reducing mannose primarily serves as a hinge for bridging the two outer mannosides of M3M6M, but does not by itself contribute to the binding to a large extent.

M6M makes one more sugar-protein hydrogen bond interaction (O-4 of the O-6-linked mannose with Tvr-12 OH) than the monosaccharide or M3M and buries a larger accessible surface area upon complexation (290 Å²) than M3M (276 Å²) or MeMan (228 ${\rm \AA}^2).$ This agrees with the slightly higher enthalpy change upon M6M binding compared with the monosaccharide Me-Man. However, the decrease in enthalpy upon binding of the second mannose of M6M is compensated for entirely by a decrease in entropy and results in no gain of affinity compared with MeMan. This is in agreement with the finding that extra protein-ligand interactions and more buried surface area do not necessarily implicate a higher affinity (45).

The Role of Water in Carbohydrate Binding-Solvent reorganization is thought to account for 25-100% of the observed binding enthalpy (46), which makes the study of water structure in the sugar-binding site potentially interesting. However, in crystal structures, only the solvent molecules for which the position is well determined by the electron density can be evaluated for their importance in solvent reorganization. Moreover, differences in crystal packing lead to small differences in sugar-protein interactions as well as differences in visible water structure in the binding site. The flexibility of the sugars to adapt to a different packing environment results in different binding motifs that accidentally do or do not involve waters, in agreement with the dynamic character of bound carbohydrates. Water 9 (Table IV) has been shown to play a role in the realization of one of the binding motifs of mannose for ConA (11).

The primary function of some water molecules seems to be to help increase the fit between the protein and sugar, rather than to serve as specific hydrogen bond mediators (waters 1-3; see Fig. 3 and Table IV). Water 1 is a very good example of this. The presence of water 1 in all ConA structures with at least a monosaccharide bound, the lack of hydrogen bonds between water 1 and the sugar in the monosaccharide-binding site, the lack of hydrogen bonding of water 1 to O-2 of the O-6-linked mannose of M6M, and the gap between Arg-228 and the sugar if water 1 would not be present indicate that the main role of this water molecule is to give the protein-binding surface a shape complementary to the sugar.

Key polar groupings have been defined as the hydroxyl groups of an oligosaccharide that are outstandingly essential to complex formation as judged from the binding of deoxy analogues (47). In the absence of the oligosaccharide, they are replaced by water molecules. The water molecules then compensate for the lack of the polar sugar-protein interaction by sharing the same protein hydrogen-bonding partners as the sugar atoms (10). Not only polar interactions are compensated for. The C-6 atom of MeMan has been recently found to be replaced by a water in the sugar-free ConA structure (see water 04 in Table IV) (39). There is a clear correlation between the importance of the particular sugar-protein interaction and its replacement by a water molecule in the absence of the sugar atom. In our study, we found that only one more water molecule of the sugar-free structure (water 06 in Table IV) conservatively replaces a sugar atom of the highly specific ConA epitope M3M6M. The water replaces O-4 of the α 1–3-linked mannose, and it stays in this position when MeMan or M6M binds. This is in sharp contrast to the rest of the water structure that changes significantly upon MeMan or M6M binding. Remarkably, for the reducing mannose in M3M6M, no such conserved water is found. Water 4 in MeMan ConA and water 05 in sugar-free ConA may compensate for the lack of O-3 or O-4, respectively, but their positions are not conserved (Table IV). This could indicate the minor importance of the direct interactions of this mannose with ConA, which agrees with the inefficient binding of the O-6-linked mannose of M6M to ConA as discussed above. It confirms the function of the reducing mannose of M3M6M as a hinge between two higher affinity subsites: the monosaccharide-binding site and the α 1–3-linked mannose subsite.

Acknowledgments-We thank A. Imberty (Centre National de la Recherches sur les Macromolécules végétales-CNRS, Grenoble, France) for interesting discussions and Yves Geunes for computer assistance.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gabius, H.-J. (1997) Eur. J. Biochem. 243, 543-576
- 2. Sharon, N., and Lis, H. (1989) Lectins, pp. 26-34, Chapman and Hall Ltd., London
- 3. Chrispeels, M. J., and Raikhel, N. V. (1991) Plant Cell 3, 1-9
- 4. Brewin, N. J., and Kardailsky, I. V. (1997) Trends Plant Sci. 2, 93-98
- 5. van Eijsden, R. R., Díaz, C. L., de Pater, B. S., and Kijne, J. W. (1995) Plant Mol. Biol. 29, 431-439
- 6. Lis, H., and Sharon, N. (1986) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 55, 35-67
- 7. Lis, H., and Sharon (1998) Chem. Rev. 98, 637-674
- 8. Loris, R., Hamelryck, T., Bouckaert, J., and Wyns, L. (1998) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1383, 9-36
- 9. Brewer, C. F. (1996) Chemtracts Biochem. Mol. Biol. 6, 165-179
- Naismith, J. H. (1990) Chemitality Biochem. Mol. Biol. 9, 160–173.
 Naismith, J. H., Emmerich, C., Habash, J., Harrop, S. J., Helliwell, J. R., Hunter, W. N., Raftery, J., Kalb Gilboa, J., and Yariv, J. (1994) Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D 50, 847-858
- 11. Bradbrook, G., Gleichman, T., Harrop, S. J., Habash, G., Raftery, J., Kalb Gilboa, A. J., Yariv, J., Hillier, I. H., and Helliwell, J. R. (1998) J. Chem.
- Soc. Faraday Trans. 94, 1603–1611 12. Naismith, J. H., and Field, R. A. (1996) J. Biol. Chem. 271, 972-976
- 13. Loris, R., Maes, D., Poortmans, F., Wyns, L., and Bouckaert, J. (1996) J. Biol. Chem. 271, 30614-30618
- 14. Moothoo, D. N., and Naismith, J. H. (1998) Glycobiology 8, 173-181
- 15. Moothoo, D. N., Canan, B., Field, R. A., and Naismith, J. H. (1999) Glycobiology 9, 539-545
- 16. Bhattacharyya, L., Ceccarini, C., Lorenzoni, P., and Brewer, C. F. (1987) J. Biol. Chem. 262, 1288–1293
- 17. Bhattacharyya, L., Haraldsson, M., and Brewer, C. F. (1987) J. Biol. Chem. **262.** 1294–1299
- 18. Schwarz, F. P., Puri, K. D., Bhat, R. G., and Surolia, A. (1993) J. Biol. Chem. 268, 7668-7677
- 19. Mandal, D. K., Kishore, N., and Brewer, C. F. (1994) Biochemistry 33, 1149 - 1156
- 20. Swaminathan, C. P., Surolia, N., and Surolia, A. (1998) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 5153-5159
- 21. Williams, B. A., Chervenak, M. C., and Toone, E. J. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267, 22907-22911
- 22. Gupta, D., Dam, T. K., Oscarson, S., and Brewer, C. F. (1997) J. Biol. Chem. **272,** 6388-6392
- 23. Gupta, D., and Brewer, C. F. (1997) in Glycosciences (Gabius, H.-J., and Gabius, S., eds) pp. 333-343, Chapman and Hall, Weinheim, Germany
- 24. Mandal, D. K., Bhattacharyya, L., Koenig, S. H., Brown, R. D., III, Oscarson, S., and Brewer, C. F. (1994) Biochemistry 33, 1157-1162
- 25. Mandal, D. K., and Brewer, C. F. (1993) Biochemistry 32, 5116-5120
- Chervenak, M. C., and Toone, E. J. (1995) *Biochemistry* 34, 5685–5695 Van Driessche, E., Smets, G., Dejaegere, R., and Kanarek, L. (1982) *Lectins:* 26.
- 27.Biology, Biochemistry, Clinical Biochemistry, (Bøg-Hansen, T. C., ed) Vol. 2, pp. 729-741 Walter De Gruyter, New York
- 28. Otwinowski, Z., and Minor, W. (1997) Methods Enzymol. 276, 307-326
- 29. Dodson, E. J., Winn, M., and Ralph, A. (1997) Methods Enzymol. 277, 620-633
- 30. Navaza, J. (1994) Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 50, 157-163
- 31. Brünger, A. T. (1992) X-PLOR version 3.1: A System for Crystallography NMR, Yale University, New Haven, CT
- 32. Banerjee, R., Mande, S. C., Ganesh, V., Das, K., Dhanaraj, V., Mahanta, S. K., Suguna, K., Surolia, A., and Vijayan, M. (1994) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 91, 227-231
- 33. Imberty, A. (1997) Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 7, 617-623
- Kanellopoulos, P. N., Pavlou, K., Perrakis, A., Agianian, B., Vorgias, C. E., 34. Mavrommatis, C., Soufi, M., Tucker, P., and Hamodrakas, S. J. (1996) J. Struct. Biol. 116, 345-355
- 35. Hamodrakas, S. J., Kanellopoulos, P. N., Pavlou, K., and Tucker, P. A. (1997)
- J. Struct. Biol. 118, 23-30
- 36. Lemieux, R. U. (1996) Acc. Chem. Res. 29, 373-380
- 37. Quiocho, F. A., Wilson, D. K., and Vyas, N. K. (1989) Nature 340, 404-407
- Elgavish, S., and Shaanan, B. (1998) J. Mol. Biol. 277, 917-932 38.
- 39. Deacon, A., Gleichman, T., Kalb Gilboa, A. J., Price, H., Raftery, J., Bradbrook, G., Yariv, J., and Helliwell, J. R. (1997) J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 93, 4305 - 4312
- 40. Hamelryck, T. W., Loris, R., Bouckaert, J., Dao-Thi, M.-H., Strecker, G., Imberty, A., Fernandez, E., Wyns, L., and Etzler, M. E. (1999) J. Mol. Biol. 286, 1161-1171
- 41. Moreno, E., Teneberg, S., Adar, R., Sharon, N., Karlsson, K.-A., and Angstrom, J. (1997) Biochemistry 36, 4429-4437
- 42. Schwartz, F. P., Misquith, S., and Surolia, A. (1996) Biochem. J. 316, 123-129
- 43. Privalov, P. L., and Gill, S. J. (1988) Adv. Protein Chem. 39, 191-234
- 44. Ladbury, J. E., and Chowdry, B. Z. (1996) Chem. Biol. 3, 791-801
- Singha, N. C., Surolia, N., and Surolia, A. (1996) *Biosci. Rep.* 16, 1–10
 Chervenak, M. C., and Toone, E. J. (1994) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 116, 10533–10539
- 47. Lemieux, R. U. (1989) Chem. Soc. Rev. 18, 347-374
- Esnouf, R. M. (1997) J. Mol. Graph. 15, 132-134 48.
- 49.
- Nicholls, A., Sharp, K. A., and Honig, B. (1991) Proteins Struct. Funct. Genet. 11, 281-296
- 50. Imberty, A., and Pérez, S. (1994) Glycobiology 4, 351-366